

- W. H. ALLCHIN, M.D., F.R.C.P.,
Senior Physician to Westminster Hospital and to
the Westminster Training-School and Home
for Nurses.
- NORMAN MOORE, M.D., F.R.C.P.,
Physician to St. Bartholomew's Hospital.
- EVA C. E. LUCKES,
Matron of the London Hospital.
- KATHERINE MONK,
Sister-Matron of King's College Hospital and
Member of Queen Alexandra's Imperial Military
Nursing Service Board.
- MABEL H. CAVE,
Matron of Westminster Hospital and Member of
Queen Alexandra's Imperial Military Nursing
Service Board.
- HERBERT LARDER,
Medical Superintendent, Whitechapel Infirmary.

A REPLY TO THE MANIFESTO; FROM THE SOCIETY FOR THE STATE REGISTRATION OF TRAINED NURSES.

The signatories of the manifesto against the State Registration of Nurses have failed to grasp the aim and purpose of the Bill introduced into the House by the Association for promoting the State Registration of Nurses.

The central purpose of this Bill is the organization of the profession by the establishment of a representative Nursing Council, to whom all questions of training, discipline, and other professional matters will be referred, and by whom a Register of Trained Nurses will be kept.

The first duty of the Council (on which the public, the medical profession, Matrons, and nurses must be represented) will be to determine what is the minimum training and experience necessary to constitute a fully-trained nurse. Once such a standard is established, nurses who have received this minimum training in hospitals or groups of hospitals approved by the Nursing Council will be entitled to register, and will receive a certificate, which will be recoverable by the Council in cases of moral or professional misconduct. It is not suggested that Registration should depend upon an "examination," or that the methods of training should differ materially from those now in force in our leading hospitals. Matrons of hospitals in which nurses undergo training will have precisely the same opportunities of judging of the moral qualities of their nurses as they have under the present system; and it is not apparent why that side of a nurse's equipment should receive less consideration under a system of Registration than it does at present. State Registration will in no way prevent employers from making further inquiries into the personal qualifications of a nurse, but in the innumerable cases where, owing to death or changes in Matronships, no such references are possible, the employer will have an assurance that the registered nurse has gone satisfactory

through a recognised training-school, and has been considered eligible for registration by her Matron. It would be needless to anticipate that hospitals will keep in their employ and recommend as candidates for registration women of unsuitable character and disposition. Nurses will, therefore, not be registered on their technical qualifications alone, inasmuch as the training-schools will certainly in every instance be required to testify that candidates possess the necessary personal qualities.

The public are in a position to judge for themselves whether or not a woman is a desirable member of their households; they have not the expert knowledge to enable them to estimate her professional qualifications.

It must also be borne in mind that many nurses do not work in connection with either hospital or agency, and that the public has no guarantee of the efficiency and impartiality of particular agencies. Some maintain an adequate standard of professional efficiency; others are run purely as commercial speculations in the interests of the proprietors.

Under a system of Registration the public would obtain their nurses from institutions which guaranteed only to supply registered nurses, and they would then not pay full fees for hospital probationers still in training, or for half-trained, inefficient women farmed out as a commercial speculation for the pecuniary advantage of a middleman.

The advocates of Registration deny that the present want of organisation, and the absence of any definite test of professional efficiency, is a guarantee of the possession by nurses of superior moral qualities, and they also contend that—however valuable personal qualities may be—it is through technical skill alone that a nurse is rendered valuable in cases of serious illness. The possession of the highest character and the best intentions would not enable an ignorant woman to arrest the hæmorrhage of a wounded artery or adjust a bandage carefully and skilfully. On the other hand, education and technical training tend to cultivate "observation, sympathy, cheerfulness, and self-control," and the highly-skilled usually acquire that professional zeal or devotion to a patient's interests which is likely to be better directed and more effective than any amount of native, but unskilled, kindness and sympathy.

It is not suggested that there should be a "uniform training," but that a certain minimum standard of training should be laid down, anything less than which cannot be regarded as complete or sufficient.

Non-registered nurses will be free to work for hire, but will be forbidden to claim the title of "Registered Nurse," and it is maintained that Registration, by giving a definite status to the fully-trained nurse, and by enabling the public to discriminate between the quality of the services offered, will attract a good class of women into the profes-

[previous page](#)

[next page](#)